

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF MINES
INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES
Office of the Regional Controller of Mines

No. AP/ADB/MP/Min-26/Hyd

To
Shri Suresh Agarwal, Lessee
Kumbezary Mangnese Mine,
Jagadamba Oil Mfl,
Rampur Road, - 504 001
Dist.,-Adilabad, Telangana State.

Room No.603, 6th Floor,
CGO Towers,Kavadiguda,
Secunderabad.-50008
Date: 05-04-2018

Sub: Submission of draft Review of Mining Plan in respect of Shri Suresh Agarwal, over an extent of 25.65 Hc. Situated in Survey No. 5, 6, 60(P) and 61 in Kumbezary Village, Adilabad Mandal, Adilabad dist., A.P. submitted under Rule 17(2) of MCDR, 2016.

Ref: Your letter no. Nil dated 22.03.2018.

Sir,

With reference to your letter cited above on the subject, the site inspection was carried out by Sri A V Ramesh Kumar, Assistant Mining Geologist on 28.03.2018 accompanied by yourself and Sri Aravind, Geologist, the draft Review of Mining Plan has since been examined and found certain deficiencies as given in Annexure. The same scrutiny comments have already been forwarded on email id of you and your Qualified Person as submitted in the document. earth.environment2008@yahoo.com and sjmining@yahoo.com

02. You are advised to attend the deficiencies as per the annexure and resubmit the document, complete in all respects, in three bound copies along with soft copy in the form of CD (2Nos.). In this regard you are directed to submit the Financial Assurance in the form of Bank Guarantee for the area put on use for Mining and allied activities @ Rs.Three lakhs/hectare for category 'A' mines provided that the minimum amount shall be Rs.Ten lakhs and @ Rs.Two Lakhs/hectare for category 'B' mines provided that the minimum amount shall be Rs.Five lakhs as per the provision of Rule 27(1) of MCDR, 2017 at the time of submission of final copies of the document within 15 (fifteen) days from the date of issue of this letter, failing which the document will be disposed without giving any further opportunity.

03. The para-wise clarification & the manner in which the deficiencies are attended should be given while forwarding modified document.

Yours faithfully,

(Pankaj K. Mishra)
Regional Controller of Mines
Chandrasekharpur, Bhubaneswar-751021.

मूल प्रति पर नहीं
खान नियंत्रक (च), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, बंगलुरु।

(Pankaj K. Mishra)
Regional Controller of Mines

(पंकज कुशवेशर)
क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक

Scrutiny comments pertaining to Kumbazari Manganese ore Mine over an extent of 25.65 ha in Adilabad District, Telangana State of Sri Suresh Agarwal

The lease was inspected on 28.03.2018. During the inspection the undersigned was accompanied by Sri Suresh Agarwal, Lessee and Sri Aravind, Geologist.

1. In the para 2(C) i.e. land use pattern to be given as per the table given in the format.
2. In para 3.3.1 i.e. Exploration, reason mentioned under column deviation is not clear. It should be spelt out clearly.
3. In para 3.4 it was mentioned that the violation issued vide letter dated 30.01.2017 was complied. It is not correct. Accordingly, compliance position needs to be corrected.
4. In table No.7, under details of existing quarries and trenches, column pertaining to year of exploration is blank. The year of exploration needs to be incorporated.
5. In table no.8 dimensions of trial pit and lithology need to be furnished.
6. It was mentioned under Mining method, recovery factor, mining losses sub head that the recovery factor was 61%. The method/procedure adopted for arriving at this recovery factor needs to be clearly mentioned.
7. Under para 19(i) in Part-A i.e. future programme of exploration, proposed exploration by way of drilling should be furnished as per the following table:

Year	No. of boreholes (Core/RC/DTH)	Grid interval	Total meterage	No. of Pits, dimensions and volume	No. of Trenches, dimensions and volume

8. At para 2.0 under Mining, It was mentioned that about 5000 tonnes will be raised during the proposed period. However, in table no.18 7614 tonnes was mentioned. This needs to be clarified.

9. At para 4.0 i.e. stacking of Mineral reject it was mentioned that 1649 cu.m waste generated will be dumped on Southern side with a height of 30 meters over an extent of 0.07 ha. This height of 30 meters appears to be incorrect. This needs to be corrected. However, in table no.27 the height is mentioned as 5 meters. This needs to be clarified.
10. During the inspection it was observed that some stock of Manganese ore was lying in the lease. The same needs to be quantified and mentioned in the text.
11. The financial Assurance as mentioned in para 8.6 needs to be submitted along with final copies.
12. Few photographs of trial pits to be incorporated.
13. G.O.Ms.No.64 dated 19.02.2009 to be enclosed as annexure.
14. Annexure VI i.e. Form-J enclosed is not signed by the lessee. It needs to be signed and enclosed.
15. In feasibility report, under exploration details of trench are mentioned. However in table no.7 in text the same is missing. The same has to be incorporated along with litho units in all relevant places in text and plates.
16. In plate no.III, date of survey and signature of the surveyor needs to be furnished.
17. The Progressive Mine Closure Plan to be renamed as Financial Assurance Plan.
18. G1,G2,G3 & G4 scale of exploration to be marked on all Geological sections.
19. In all plates signature of lessee needs to be incorporated.
